

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

LABORATORY SKIN CARE, INC., and)
ZAHRA MANSOURI,) Civil Action No. 06-601 (KAJ)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
LIMITED BRANDS, INC. and BATH &)
BODY WORKS, INC.,)
Defendants.)

SCHEDULING ORDER

This ____ day of _____, 2006, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(a) on November 22, 2006, and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration:

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard.

The parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) on January 15, 2007. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court's Default Standard for Delivery of Electronic Documents, which is posted at <http://www.ded.uscourts.gov> (see Order, etc., Policies & Procedures, Ad Hoc Committee for Electronic Discovery), and is incorporated herein by reference.

2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before June 28, 2007.

3. Discovery.

a. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Testimony. Each side is limited to a total of 70 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination (excluding experts). Expert depositions shall be limited to a maximum of 7 hours per expert. Extensions may be granted upon the filing of a motion with the Court.

b. Location of Deposition. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court or by agreement of the parties. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.

c. Discovery Cut Off. All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before October 5, 2007. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.

d. Disclosure of Expert Testimony. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, they shall file their initial Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) disclosures of expert testimony on or before ninety days before the date of the completion of

discovery, and file a supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party sixty days before the date for the completion of discovery. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

e. Discovery Disputes. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6001 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-eight hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed and a summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.

4. Application to the Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed for of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from

the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, the counsel must first follow the provisions of Paragraph 3e above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering into this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the parties should list any other level of designation, such as "highly confidential," which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.

6. Settlement Conference. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, this matter is referred to the United States Magistrate for the purpose of exploring the possibility of a settlement.

7. Interim Status Report. On August 9, 2007, counsel shall submit a letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.

8. Status Conference. On August 16, 2007, the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (6) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at 4:30 p.m. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephone call.

If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they may so notify the Court in writing before the

conference is scheduled to occur, and the conference will be taken off of the Court's calendar.

9. Tutorial Describing the Technology and Matters in Issue. The parties shall provide the Court by September 14, 2007, a tutorial on the technology at issue. In that regard, each party may submit a videotape of not more than 30 minutes. The parties may choose to present the tutorial in person on September 14, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. In either event, the tutorial should focus on the technology at issue and should not be used to argue the parties' claims construction contentions. If the parties choose to file videotapes, they should be filed under seal as part of the Court's file, subject to any protective order in effect. Each party may comment, in writing (in no more than 5 pages) on the opposing party's videotape tutorial. Any such comment shall be filed within ten (10) days of submission of the videotapes.

10. Case Dispositive Motions. All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before November 19, 2007. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules.

11. Claim Construction Issue Identification. If the Court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on October 19, 2007, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will be not filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be submitted pursuant to paragraph 12 below on November 19, 2007. The parties Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in

issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A copy of the patent(s) in issue, as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon, are to be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.

12. Claim Construction. The parties shall submit briefs concerning issues of claim construction to the Court no later than November 19, 2007, to be considered by the Court in conjunction with the parties' summary judgment motions. Responsive briefs shall be due 30 days after opening briefs are due.

13. Hearing on Claim Construction and Summary Judgment. Beginning at 9:30 a.m. on January 11, 2008, the Court will hear evidence and argument on claim construction and summary judgment.

14. Applications by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

15. Pretrial Conference. On May 6, 2008, the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at 4:30 p.m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the joint proposed pretrial order with the information required by the form

of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies this Scheduling Order on or before April 7, 2008.

16. Motions in Limine. Motions *in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to five *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in limine* request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of five pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of five pages of argument. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an *in limine* request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single five (5) page submission, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

17. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51 the parties should file proposed voir dire, instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be accompanied by a computer diskette (in WordPerfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special verdict forms, and jury interrogatories.

18. Trial. This matter is scheduled for a 7-day jury trial beginning at 9:30 a.m. on June 2, 2008 through June 10, 2008. For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated a total of 17 hours to present their case.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

BEN T. CASTLE
 SHELDON N. SANDLER
 RICHARD A. LEVINE
 RICHARD A. ZAPPA
 FREDERICK W. IOBST
 RICHARD H. MORSE
 DAVID C. MCBRIDE
 JOSEPH M. NICHOLSON
 CRAIG A. KARNSITZ
 BARRY M. WILLOUGHBY
 JOSY W. INGERSOLL
 ANTHONY G. FLYNN
 JEROME K. GROSSMAN
 EUGENE A. DiPRINZIO
 JAMES L. PATTON, JR.
 ROBERT L. THOMAS
 WILLIAM D. JOHNSTON
 TIMOTHY J. SNYDER
 BRUCE L. SILVERSTEIN
 WILLIAM W. BOWSER
 LARRY J. TARABICOS
 RICHARD A. DILIBERTO, JR.
 MELANIE K. SHARP
 CASSANDRA F. ROBERTS
 RICHARD J. A. POPPER
 TERESA A. CHEEK

NEILLI MULLEN WALSH
 JANET Z. CHARLTON
 ROBERT S. BRADY
 JOEL A. WAITE
 BRENT C. SHAFFER
 DANIEL P. JOHNSON
 CRAIG D. GREAR
 TIMOTHY JAY HOUSEAL
 MARTIN S. LESSNER
 PAULINE K. MORGAN
 C. BARR FLINN
 NATALIE WOLF
 LISA B. GOODMAN
 JOHN W. SHAW
 JAMES P. HUGHES, JR.
 EDWIN J. HARRON
 MICHAEL R. NESTOR
 MAUREEN D. LUKE
 ROLIN P. BISSELL
 SCOTT A. HOLT
 JOHN T. DORSEY
 M. BLAKE CLEARY
 CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS WRIGHT
 DANIELLE GIBBS
 JOHN J. PASCHETTO
 NORMAN M. POWELL

THE BRANDYWINE BUILDING
 1000 WEST STREET, 17TH FLOOR
 WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801

P.O. Box 391
 WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19899-0391
 (302) 571-6600
 (800) 253-2234 (DE ONLY)
 FAX: (302) 571-1253

110 WEST PINE STREET
 P.O. BOX 594
 GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE 19947
 (302) 856-3571
 (800) 255-2234 (DE ONLY)
 FAX: (302) 856-9338

WWW.YOUNGCONAWAY.COM

DIRECT DIAL: (302) 571-6681
 DIRECT FAX: (302) 576-3333
 msharp@ycst.com

LISA A. ARMSTRONG
 JOSEPH M. BARRY
 SEAN M. BEACH
 DONALD J. BOWMAN, JR.
 TIMOTHY P. CAIRNS
 KARA HAMMOND COYLE
 MARGARET M. DiBIANCA
 MARY F. DUGAN
 ERIN EDWARDS
 KENNETH J. ENOS
 IAN S. FREDERICKS
 JAMES J. GALLAGHER
 SEAN T. GREECHER
 STEPHANIE L. HANSEN
 DAWN M. JONES
 RICHARD S. JULIE
 KAREN E. KELLER
 JENNIFER M. KINKUS
 EDWARD J. KOSMOWSKI
 JOHN C. KUFFEL
 KAREN LANTZ

SPECIAL COUNSEL
 JOHN D. MC LAUGHLIN, JR.
 ELENA C. NORMAN
 KAREN L. PASCALE
 PATRICIA A. WIDDROSS

TIMOTHY E. LENGEKEK
 ANDREW A. LUNDGREN
 MATTHEW B. LUNN
 JOSEPH A. MALFITANO
 ADRIA B. MARTINELLI
 MICHAEL W. McDERMOTT
 MARIBETH L. MINELLA
 EDMON L. MORTON
 D. FON MUTTAMARA-WALKER
 JENNIFER R. NOEL
 ADAM W. POFF
 SETH J. REIDENBERG
 SARA BETH A. REYBURN
 KRISTEN R. SALVATORE (PA ONLY)
 MICHELE SHERRETTA
 MONTÉ T. SQUIRE
 MICHAEL P. STAFFORD
 CHAD S.C. STOVER (SC ONLY)
 JOHN E. TRACEY
 MARGARET B. WHITEMAN
 SHARON M. ZIEG

SENIOR COUNSEL
 CURTIS J. CROWTHER
 OF COUNSEL
 BRUCE M. STARGATT
 STUART B. YOUNG
 EDWARD B. MAXWELL, 2ND

December 5, 2006

BY E-FILE

The Honorable Kent A. Jordan
 United States District Court of Delaware
 844 North King Street
 Lock Box 10
 Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: Laboratory Skin Care Inc. et al v. Limited Brands Inc. et al
 C.A. No.: 06-601 KAJ

Dear Judge Jordan:

Enclosed please find the parties' joint proposed scheduling order in follow up to the November 22, 2006 Scheduling Conference.

Respectfully,

Melanie K. Sharp (No. 2501)

MKS:amc

cc: Clerk of the Court (Hand Delivery)
 Francis G.X. Pileggi, Esq. (by e-mail)